



LEAGUE SENTINEL


AIMING FOR THE TRUTH

The Newsletter of the League of St George

ISSUE No: 51

COMRADESHIP LOYALTY HONOUR

By Subscription Only



**"AS YE SOW,
SO SHALL YE REAP"**

Editorial

Autumn 2001

The New World Order

We make no apology for devoting much of this issue to reporting and reflecting on the attacks on the USA on September 11th this year. No single incident in modern history has had the potential to affect our struggle. With the Israeli secret service MOSSAD inventing "intelligence information" specifically designed to target opponents of Israel it is only a matter of time before extreme-left Zionist publications accuse "right-wing nationalists" of having links with Middle Eastern terrorism.

It must also be recognised that the raft of new anti-terrorist legislation that is being imposed throughout the US and Europe will eventually be aimed at those of us who are involved in the legitimate political struggle for the future of our race and nation. Already, any nationalist who uses a US based Internet service provider, such as AOL etc, are having their e-mails "captured and scanned" by sophisticated surveillance programmes such as CARNIVORE. Conversations on cellular telephones are also being recorded and examined by agents of the New Order. Although none of us are engaged in illegal activities the new powers given to the "thought police" will be in danger of being hijacked for the cause of political correctness.

It is a time to be vigilant.

Terrorism At Home

With "Bomber Blair" once again risking the lives of British servicemen in far off lands in the fight against terrorism it is perhaps an opportune moment to mention state terrorism in Britain. Some time ago a young British couple who are active in one of Britain's nationalist parties decided to launch a publication designed to appeal and hopefully recruit young women into the nationalist cause. Within weeks of their journal being published

The "thought police" paid a visit to their home complete with unelected members of the race relations industry and the press. As was probably expected, nothing illegal was found on the premises, but following a campaign of harassment by our "unbiased media" and pressure from the race relations commissars the couple were made homeless by their landlord, the local council.

The state or its servants should not practice inflicting terror on people because of their political beliefs. As our Prime Minister said recently "We are declaring war on terrorists wherever they may be".

Perhaps he should start looking closer to home.

Contents

Issue 51

- 3: **Did Support For Terrorism Rebound On the US?**
- 4: **News From Around Britain. White Britons in decline.**
- 5: **News From Around Britain. Britain is top target for asylum seekers.**
- 6: **Last Post For Free Speech in Britain**
- 8: **The Dark Side of US Foreign Policy**
- 10: **Overseas News. Rudolf Hess remembered.**
- 11: **Overseas News. Australia adopts new asylum policies.**
- 12: **Noam Chomsky's Search For The Truth**
- 13: **The Meaning of Anti-Semitism**
- 14: **Asylum Seekers and Terrorism**

League Sentinel is printed and published by League Enterprises on behalf of the League of St George. Permission to reprint articles or to distribute them through any other media must be obtained in advance. Acknowledgement of origin must be given to *League Sentinel*. All correspondence must be addressed to ... League Enterprises, 27, Old Gloucester Street, London. WC1N 3XX.



As Ye Sow, So Shall Ye Reap

Did Support For Terrorism Rebound On The United States?

The full consequences of the murderous attack by Islamic fundamentalists on targets in the United States on September 11 are only beginning to emerge. Tighter securities, erosion of civil liberties, increased state surveillance are all but the tip of the iceberg. "A war on terrorism" proclaimed by US President George W Bush changed within days to "A war on international terrorism" as the US and its satellite states such as Israel saw the opportunity to use the tragedy as an excuse to attack any country opposing the "New World Order". But as former US President Ronald Reagan once said, "*One man's terrorist is another man's freedom fighter*". And so we ask the US to examine its own record on "supporting, assisting or giving financial help to terrorists"

It is almost certain that the primary motive for the attack on the United States was to punish it for its support and assistance given over the years to Israel.

The refined state terrorism that Israel has inflicted on its neighbours and Palestinian refugees has often been with weapons supplied by good old "Uncle Sam".

The Apache helicopter gun ships used recently to attack Palestinian homes were supplied by the US. The F16 planes used to attack the offices and police stations of the embryonic Palestinian state were "made in the USA". The finances necessary to usurp Arab land to build homes for Jewish settlers were supplied largely by US citizens. Even many of the bulldozers used to demolish Palestinian homes were a gift from the USA.

The estimated six thousand civilian casualties suffered in New York, Washington and Pittsburgh, as tragic as they are, pales almost into insignificance when compared to the 17,000 civilians killed by Israeli state terrorists in Lebanon. And why in the interest of fighting terrorism hasn't the US and its coalition partners hunted down the perpetrators of the slaughter of 2000 women and children in the Sabra and Chatila refugee camps in 1982? Or those who bombed the King David Hotel in 1948?

Instead they have welcomed and honoured those whose hands are forever stained with the blood of innocents.

Six thousand US casualties is almost identical to the number of Britons murdered by the Marxist IRA in their 30-year campaign of terror. Who can forget the slaughter at Hyde Park, Warrington, Birmingham, Enniskillen and dozens of other cities and towns in Britain?

Well, seemingly many citizens and politicians in the USA can.

Countless American politicians including those at the very top have welcomed IRA murderers. And even today, at this very moment whilst Americans are grieving for their dead, fellow Americans continue to raise funds to promote terrorism within the British Isles.

One comrade in Ulster asked, "How would the American public feel if we invited bin Laden to be our honoured guest in Belfast"?

It is a question that most honest Americans would avoid answering.

Finally, as the US mourns its dead, it needs to remember

...the tears of an American child mourning the loss of a loving parent are no more and no less painful than the tears of an orphaned Palestinian child in the occupied West Bank, in Iraq or the back streets of Belfast.



Terrorism is an evil that civilised people cannot be selective about. It must be destroyed wherever it originates from.



NEWS AROUND BRITAIN

London

Ethnic Minority Population Grows Fifteen Times Faster Than Whites

The ethnic minority population of Britain is growing 15 times faster than the white population according to figures released by the office for national statistics. The difference in birth rate meant that between 1992 and 1999 almost 500,000 ethnic minority children were born in Britain. These figures reflect only birth rate and do not include the massive increase in immigration into the country.

The overall ethnic minority population in Britain exceeds 4 million people.

One half of the ethnic population lives in London including 78% of black-Africans and 57% of Bangladeshis. This compares with just 10% of the white population living in the capital.

Inner London as a whole houses 34% of the ethnic minority population with several East London boroughs such as Tower Hamlets and Newham being predominantly non-white.

The old argument that repatriation of immigrants would be required no longer applies as almost half of the ethnic population was born in Britain and could not be classified as "immigrants".



London: Home to Half of Britain's Ethnic Population

Edinburgh

We were saddened to hear of the recent death of Anton Gecas at the age of 85. Mr Gecas had for the past 20 years been the target of several Jewish organisations who accused him of being a war criminal.

Anton Gecas was born in Lithuania and served as a NCO in the police force. During the war, when the Lithuanian government

fled the country, his police unit was put under the control of the German occupying forces. A lifelong anti-communist, Mr Gecas fled the country after the war and came to Britain as a refugee. He settled in Edinburgh and worked for many years in the coal mining industry. In the 1980s he was accused by Jewish organisations of being a war criminal who had slaughtered thousands of Jews in his native Lithuania. A charge that Mr Gecas firmly denied. In an interview with *League Sentinel* some years ago Mr Gecas admitted taking part in fighting during the war against communist terrorists but firmly stated, "I have never done anything to be ashamed of. I have done nothing that I would not do again".

Peterborough

No Change In Politically Correct Policing

Seventeen year-old Ross Parker became the latest victim of immigrant racist violence when he was stabbed to death whilst walking home from the pub where he worked. Ross was attacked and stabbed to death by a gang of between 9 and 12 Asian youths. The local police are questioning 12 Asians between the ages of 13 and 21 about the incident but still refuse to treat the attack as racist!



In Memoriam

"A Comrade in Struggle"

Ian Stuart Donaldson
1957 – 1993

"If the Spirit Lives ... The Rest Will Follow"



NEWS AROUND BRITAIN

London

“Soft Touch” Makes Britain Top Target For Asylum Seekers

Britain has become the most popular destination in Europe for asylum seekers and bogus refugees, overtaking Germany for the first time ever. Almost a quarter of all asylum seekers in Europe sought refuge in Britain last year.

A total of 430,000 known asylum seekers entered Europe last year, with 98,900 applying to live in Britain. Of the seventeen western European countries, Germany came second in the “asylum league table” with, 78,600 applicants.

A total of 69,230 gained entry into Britain illegally before applying for refuge.

The main nationalities were Iraqis, Sri Lankans, Kosovars, Iranians and Afghans.

Most of these are still awaiting a decision on their applications but current figures show that a quarter of all refugees are granted asylum, with a further third being given “exceptional leave to stay”. Only, 9000 failed applicants were deported last year. The figures for illegal immigrants who did not declare themselves to the authorities last year are estimated to be in excess of 50,000.

Asylum seekers have admitted their preference for entry to Britain, rather than other European countries, is due to Britain’s generous welfare system.



Asylum Seekers Prefer Britain

Journalist Accuses BBC Of “Buckling To Israeli Pressure”

The respected former newsreader turned journalist, Robert Fisk, has accused the BBC of “buckling to Israeli pressure” over its decision to drop the use of the word “assassination” when referring to acts of murder committed by Israeli forces.

For several months Israel has adopted a policy of murdering selected Palestinian figures including politicians serving the Palestinian Authority. Until recently the BBC reported such incidents as “assassinations”. But following what Mr Fisk describes as pressure from Israeli sources (and prominent “Friends of Israel”) the BBC directed its news teams to use only the term “assassination” if a prominent figure was killed. An alternate term to be used to describe the murders of common folk was not revealed.

York

Jewish Groups Delay Inquiry

A public inquiry initiated as a result of demands by Jewish groups has been delayed because the date coincided with a Jewish religious festival.

The inquiry concerns plans submitted by developers to build a £60 million leisure and retail park near to York’s Clifford Tower which if approved will create several hundred much needed new jobs.

The objectors, including the London-based, Board of Deputies, are concerned about any possible impact on local landmark Clifford’s Tower where in 1190 some local Jews committed suicide after seeking refuge in the tower from irate townsfolk who suspected them of involvement in the apparent “ritual murder” of a young Christian child.

No date has been set for the inquiry.



Have You
Renewed
YOUR
Membership
Yet?

The Last Post For Free Speech In Britain

How Race Laws Eroded Our Last Vestiges Of Freedom

An Introspective View by Robert Edwards

Twenty years ago I was convicted and sentenced to twelve months imprisonment for drawing cartoon strips to appear in a comic called *The Stormer*, which was said at the time to mimic Streicher's newspaper, *Der Stürmer*. Hundreds of thousands were printed and distributed as far north as Dundee. It seemed that all hell broke loose on the realisation of the extent of *The Stormer's* impact, particularly in schools, and they came down on me like a ton of bricks. Although I played no part in the printing and distribution of this publication, I was initially charged with "aiding and abetting", later changed at Snaresbrook Crown Court to one of publishing material likely to incite racial hatred. My cartooning skills had had their wings clipped and I quickly learned the limitations and scope of what

Many others have since gone through the mill of race hate legislation enshrined in the Public Order Act and, no doubt, many more will follow as the screws are tightened on free speech. Since the first race relations bill was passed in 1965, the various amendments have featured a clause whereby the prosecution in such cases is not required to prove that the accused acted with intent to stir up racial hatred ... "having regard to all the circumstances".

Today, a mere complaint from an individual is enough for the police to acquire a search warrant to scour one's home for racially inflammatory material and "related paraphernalia", with all the inconvenience it entails, notably the invasion of privacy.

is deemed acceptable to our lords and masters. national group. They are also designed to give an unfair advantage to ethnic minorities, including illegal immigrants.

The Stormer was never conceived of as being a serious piece of political propaganda but was more an experiment in breaching the bounds of good taste. It had an echo of that tradition of bad taste indulged in by the likes of Gillray, Hogarth and Cruickshank, when laws curbing material of an offensive nature were virtually non-existent. Having been the subject of two search warrants within the last four years, I have become only too aware that the caricaturist must conform to the politically correct edicts of the race relations lobby. This forbids me from caricaturing Jewish and black people without incurring their wrath and a certain prison sentence. How would those old iconoclasts of the poison pen in the nineteenth century have fared today, given the freedom they had in those days to ridicule, exaggerate, insult ... and, yes, offend? American readers will view such a situation as incredible, having freedom of speech and expression as "inalienable" rights enshrined in the First Amendment of their Constitution. One would have thought the laws relating to libel and defamation were enough protection for those on the receiving end of some old-fashioned satire.

Long before the advent of race legislation in Britain, attempts were made to criminalize anti-Jewish propaganda. In 1936, Arnold Leese of the Imperial Fascist League was charged with libel after an issue of *The Fascist* featured an article alleging Jewish participation in ritual murder (the old Blood Libel in popular legend) along with words penned by Leese on "the disposal of the Jews" to Madagascar. He was sentenced to six months in prison. During the trial, Leese cited the Public Records Office as containing "close and patent rolls of the state" in which Jewish ritual murder was an established fact. The response from the judge was that, "*The truth of a libel is no defence*". Leese was further denied an opportunity after the prosecution counsel's claim: "*In my submission, it is correctly laid down that the defendant is in no case allowed to prove the truth of a seditious libel as a justification for publishing it*".

The judge responded: "*That is the law as I understand it*".

These allegations of the Jews in history were considered as rendering "His Majesty's subjects liable to suspicion, affront and boycott". In other words, sedition!

For Leese to pursue this line of defence would have been considered contempt of court.



The model for *The Stormer* comic?

Race laws in this country are designed to curb any serious effort at promoting a white ethno-centric culture. Particularly one that suggests the indigenous population is threatened with racial extinction by the erosion of its distinct identity as the dominant

The Last Post For Free Speech: continued

This was a precursor to a formulation of race legislation in the 1960s. Leese was to write two years after leaving prison that ... "The maintenance of free speech demands that Jewish ritual murder **shall be a subject for open discussion**, like Suttie and Thuggee and the sacrifices of Aztec Mexico, all of which were ritual murders".

In the interests of justice, not always compatible with the interests of law, open discussion would seem to be the fairest means of arriving at and establishing a truth, even when truth is of a discount. But this now seems to be a course denied those hounded and persecuted for a related "crime" in several countries in Europe, the crime of discussing and questioning the authenticity of the Jewish Holocaust, particularly the established version of events.

In 1950, a 72 year old Arnold Leese was acquitted of a charge of defamatory libel brought by the DPP, after he accused the then Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police, Sir Harold R. Scott, of being "an obvious Jew" in his *Gothic Ripples* ... a periodical now produced by his protégé, Mr Colin Jordan, and the subject of recent litigation at Leeds Crown Court. What is of significance in the second Leese libel trial is the idea that suggesting the Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police was a Jew would "hold him up to hatred, contempt and public ridicule and, further, **would damage his reputation**". The inference is that being a Jew or accused of being one is disreputable. You can draw your own conclusions. The jury took nine minutes to return a verdict of not guilty.

In our democracy it is Parliament that legislates and no one can overrule its laws. No court can deny the legal validity of such legislation. In 1967, Colin Jordan, then the leader of the National Socialist Movement (NSM) imprisoned for offences under the new Race Relations Act, failed in his attempt to claim his right of free speech was curtailed under the Race Relations Act (1965) by issuing a writ of *Habeas Corpus*.

We had gone on beyond the laws of criminal and civil libel issues to an abuse of the suspension of Habeas Corpus, last enacted in the Emergency Powers Acts (*Defence Regulation 18b*) as a wartime emergency measure. Did race relations in Britain in the late 1960s warrant such draconian laws, designed specifically to curb free speech and effectively silence those who actively opposed multi-racialism ... a concept now under the umbrella of the "inclusive society"?


Another case around that time (1968) concerned the prosecution of five members of **The Racial Preservation Society** at Lewes Assizes for publishing racist material. One of the defendants was the printer, Alan Hancock, the father of Colin Jordan's co-defendant, Tony Hancock, in the Leeds trial. All five were acquitted, mainly on the evidence of an expert witness, Professor R. Gayre, M.A., D.Phil., Pol.D.Sc.

Gayre's evidence that blacks "prefer their leisure to the dynamism which the white and yellow races show" tipped the scales in favour of the defendants. In the years following, however, there were to be no further triumphs.

We come back to the old legal maxim that "truth is no defence", seemingly in contradiction and variance to the law regarding perjury ... and certainly all principles of justice.

When Parliament legislates on free speech it effectively erodes democracy.

76 year old Robert Relf, once imprisoned for a notice outside his property stating, "for sale to a white family only", appears regularly at Thanet Magistrates Court in Kent for withholding part of his council tax he deems is wasted on asylum seekers. At each hearing he is refused the right to explain his reasons and is issued with liability orders to avoid giving him the custodial sentence he seeks. The elderly Lady Jane Birdwood was treated similarly in her last years. Being the victim of a mugging near her home in Acton Vale, West London, virtually finished her off. No doubt, the thought of the martyrdom of ageing veterans in the appalling conditions of Britain's prisons would prove distasteful to many people.



Hanging around for a prosecution

Perhaps one of the most absurd cases brought under the Act concerned an issue of *The Rune* (above) edited by BNP leader, Nick Griffin. The symbolism of the hangman's noose and the words "white unity" were misconstrued to signify something sinister. It is not a criminal offence to advocate the restoration of capital punishment but the noose on the cover represented "the entwining of single strands of hemp" becoming stronger through unity ... a nationalist unity ... according to the text inside.

This did not prevent the Liberal Democrat MP, Alex Carlisle, bringing a complaint under the Race Relations Act with Griffin receiving a suspended sentence.

There is good propaganda and there is bad propaganda. To be gratuitously offensive is both unnecessary and undesirable. We have to live with race legislation for perhaps a long time yet but in the meantime an awareness of the restrictions on free speech can serve to hone and sharpen our wits. We can use our intelligence tactfully and with serious purpose. We know the immature desire to shock tactic does our cause no good and those who indulge in such extremist expressions have often been exposed as *agent provocateur*.

We can phrase our message in terms that neither offend nor insult thus winning the hearts and minds of all decent people, proving that love of one's race does not mean hatred of others.

THE HORSEMEN OF THE APOCALYPSE

The Dark Side of US Foreign Policy

To watch the attack on the towers of the World Trade Centre live has been compared with the shock of disbelief on hearing of the assassination of President Kennedy. But more than that, it was a drama played out on our screens, repeated endlessly for days on end, for the purpose of impressing on all that the magnitude of the event was real that, unlike most of the pap on our television screens, the seriousness could not be overplayed nor overemphasised. The initial disbelief was not simply shock but the realisation that the United States was not immune to such catastrophe and the means of bringing it about were simple and effective. The United States was stunned. How could this happen to the world's superpower, more at home threatening and bombing other people ... often innocent civilians?

It goes without saying that our sympathy for the victims of the attack on the World Trade Centre is absolute. But such sympathy must be divorced from the symbolism of these towers, brought down like a stack of playing cards and creating economic uncertainty as its significance reverberated around the world. This was the great icon of American capitalism. The centre of the world's money-dealing empire, the very seat of usury, was targeted by people who recognised the significance of this monument to money and greed.

After the mourning and the agony of the loss of loved ones the time has come for analysis. Above and beyond all the rhetoric, the old clichés of defending freedom and democracy, the moral justification for the threats against any country that gets in the way of the United States and the absurd claim that this crime-riddled society represents a higher form of civilisation lies a history of bullying, subterfuge and cynical exploitation of weaker nations. As such, they believe their values should be imposed on "lesser breeds".

How these values are to be imposed is made very clear by the record of intervention that is the hallmark of US foreign policy.

What is most incredible is that successive US administrations actually believe the world wants them to act as global policemen, that the world loves them for their bubble gum, Mickey Mouse and MacDonald's burgers. What goes along with these symbols of the superior civilisation is all the hardware of mass destruction just itching to be unleashed on anyone who refuses to play ball.

The reality is that the rest of the world not so much fears America as rejects its values and resents being treated as the "native" benefactors of Yankee "missionaries". In Arab countries, and the Islamic world at large, there exists the knowledge of American hypocrisy, part of the Great Satan. The murder of Palestinian children is seen every night by anyone who possesses a television set. And that includes 1.2 billion Muslims. They know that America supports Israel both financially and militarily and they view any attack upon a Muslim country as ultimately benefiting Israel's position as an expansionist power in the Middle East.

The United States gives arms and financial support to Israel for the primary purpose of repressing the Palestinian people ... but this policy is not confined to the issue of Palestine. For years, the United States has bombed several countries, mostly Islamic, in a continuous campaign of appeasing Israel and asserting the US role of global policeman. Lebanon, Iran, Iraq,

Syria, Serbia and, again, Afghanistan have been targets for murdering thousands of innocent civilians, in their schools, hospitals and homes.

Israel has murdered many hundreds of Palestinian children and the ongoing assassination of some leaders of the Palestinians is a matter of indifference to the United States.

The Islamic world is justly annoyed that America now plays the victim of an atrocity ... an atrocity waiting to happen with all that preceded it in the pursuit of America's foreign policy. The leaders of the United States see only their side in these tragic events and see only their self-righteous mission to impose their clout everywhere in the world.

Why do our leaders in Britain fawn before this aggressive super-power? Blair talks of "standing shoulder to shoulder" with our American "allies". The true position is the bigger of the two bending over with little Albion on all fours behind it ready to do America's bidding.

Trusty old Tony flies around the world doing his evangelist act, repeating the catechism of the bullyboy as laid down by Washington, giving himself airs as a "presidential" leader on a par with "Dubja" Bush. He even adopts the parlance of a B-movie cowboy, mimicking the gun-toting heroes of a sanitised Wild West "out to get the bad guys outa town". The more our leaders side with the United States in the pursuit of the latter's foreign policy, the more we ourselves will be sucked into that vortex of global misadventure, when more and more countries will view us as Israel "number two" with all the contempt reserved for that bandit state in the Middle East.


The "special relationship", that peculiar arrangement beloved of British prime ministers and viewed with suspicion by other European countries, has stained the hands of our leaders with a lot of blood. Sanctions against Iraq, for example, have not even dented Saddam Hussein's grip on power but they have **killed more than 500,000 Iraqi children under the age of five years old**. These sanctions were a premeditated act of terrorism as much as the airliners directed against the World Trade Centre. At least the suicide flyers chose a specific target, not schools or hospitals, whereas the sanctions against Iraq have resulted in the indiscriminate suffering and deaths of hundreds of thousands not of an age to understand.

Where is the compassion for these innocent people?

It certainly does not come from the United States and never did but the rest of the world must now engage in a mass wailing for those lost in the carnage of the World Trade Centre as if the crimes of US foreign policy never occurred.

The Horsemen Of The Apocalypse: continued

President Bush claimed, shortly after the attack on the World Trade Centre, "America was targeted for attack because we're the brightest beacon for freedom and opportunity in the world". The question is, why were not other "beacons" attacked for America is not the only country that boasts of being a freedom-loving liberal democracy?



Quick-draw "Dubya" defends the Wild West from innocent civilians ... just like good ol' Paw!

The answer is that America is hated throughout the world because the leaders of America are shameless hypocrites and use words such as "freedom and democracy" like cheap platitudes, rolled off the tongue with the ease of well-trained actors. This is where Tony Blair got his grinning persona ... through his affinity with Bill Clinton. Behind the Colgate twinkle, Clinton was content to claim after the bombing of Belgrade and the massive civilian casualties, "*Oh, it's just collateral damage ... all those dead civilians*".

So, was it just collateral damage in New York on September 12? Apparently not, for the very heart of American capitalism was brought crashing down ... the financial edifice that contributes to the funding of the most powerful war machine in the world. America's "vital national interests" were summoned up as the moral justification for embarking on yet another "crusade", claiming the right to send their B2 bombers, war planes and troops **anywhere in the world**. It is only collateral damage when American lives are not lost. When an American dies in the service of his President, then look out.

A former US Attorney General, Ramsay Clark, accused his own country of **committing a crime against humanity** when

sanctions were imposed upon the people of Iraq. "A crime", he said, "that exceeds all others in its magnitude, cruelty and portent".

The murder of 500,000 Iraqi children can be attributed to a food and medicine scarcity, a direct result of sanctions. But US bombing was a contributory factor.

Predictably, globetrotting Madeleine Albright, then serving as Secretary of State under Clinton, defended this mass murder. When it was put to her that the figure of 500,000 children far exceeded the figure of children who died in Hiroshima and asked if it was worth it, she replied on May 12, 1996, "*We think the price is worth it*".

So much for the gentler sex ... noted for their maternal instincts and regard for children everywhere.

But Madeleine Albright is no ordinary woman, exultant in discovering her Jewishness and her bonding with the state of Israel.

The hypocrisy of US administrations is bound up with America's support for terrorism. Indeed, the United States has a consistent record of warmly embracing cold-blooded butchers of innocent people ever since Menachim Begin of Stern Gang fame was welcomed to the White House as a "statesman". It was Begin who described in his autobiography that the bombing of the King David Hotel in Palestine was "the highlight of my career". Of course, the King David Hotel was not a hallowed financial centre ... just an administrative headquarters staffed by civilians and British military personnel. Collateral damage?

Yitzhak Shamir was another given full honours by Washington, a man with a record of terrorism and disregard for innocent people. The worst, however, is Israel's present prime minister, Ariel Sharon, the hawkish adversary of Palestinian aspirations. He is responsible for the daily confrontations with stone-throwing children, regularly shot by the Israeli Army. He sponsors the assassination of top Palestinians using American military hardware.

For top marks, Sharon was found by an official Israeli commission to have been largely responsible for the mass murder of Palestinian civilians in the Sabra and Shatila refugee camps in Southern Lebanon in 1982. It is Sharon who now wants the United States to declare war on all the Arab states in the Middle East, whatever the cost.

These monsters make Gerry Adams look like a good Catholic altar boy on a church outing.

Since the attacks on the Taliban in Afghanistan the world's media, but notably that in America and Britain, have consistently failed to address the causes of anti-American disaffection in many parts of the world. A virtual blanket of silence has descended on news reportage giving any reference to the role of Israel in the Palestinian conflict. Osama bin Laden has spelled this out over Qatar television but is dismissed by Bush as "the Evil One" who must be brought to justice.

With all the hysteria being whipped up on all sides, truth is the first casualty of war. But the United States must come to terms with its own questionable foreign policy of the past fifty years. If this is not addressed openly and honestly then the calls for *jihad* will reverberate around the Muslim world for longer than the United States can maintain "a sustained campaign".



Germany

German Youth Marches In Memory Of Rudolf Hess

18th August 2001. Over 1000 Germans, many of them young people, marched through the Bavarian town of Wunsiedel in silence to mark the fourteenth anniversary of the mysterious death of Rudolf Hess.

The marchers made their way to the town cemetery where the "Prisoner of Peace" is buried. Local authorities had attempted to ban the march and were shocked when a court in nearby Munich refused to ban the event declaring that it would be of "no threat to public security". A small gathering of communists and members of the local Jewish community gathered to protest against the march but were firmly controlled by the police. Nationalist marchers in several other German towns including Wittstock and Rottenburg also remembered the mysterious death of Hess, who many believe was murdered in prison. Tourists visiting Germany could not fail to notice dozens of banners with slogans referring to Hess draped from bridges crossing major autobahns.



Nationalist Offends Politically Correct German Media

Horst Mahler, a leading member of the Germany's *National Democratic Party*, embarrassed the producers of the German current affairs programme, *Panorama*, by describing the US losses in the attack of the World Trade Centre as "collateral damage".

Mr Mahler, a lawyer, was interviewed along with several other political figures about the terrorist attack, conceded that the attack was cruel but said that he understood the feelings of the terrorists who only wanted to strike back at the nation that has provided Israel with the money and weapons to suppress Palestinian civil liberties.

Predictably, media bosses and left-wing journalists are now demanding that Mr Mahler be banned from appearing on television in the future.

Denmark

Over 500 nationalists from all over Scandinavia gathered in the Danish capital Copenhagen to stage their annual "Remember Rudolf Hess" march. Despite provocation from a small group of anti-fascist extremists, the march was completed peacefully.


Spain

Spanish comrades from the *Blood & Honour Division Espanol* organised three days of events on the 17th 18th and 19th of August, to remember the death of Rudolf Hess. Representatives from most Spanish nationalist groups attended as well as comrades from Portugal.

**RUDOLF HESS
Y DEMÁS CAÍDOS POR
EUROPA Y LA RAZA**



Blood & Honour
-División Española-





Australia

Government Adopts *One Nation* Asylum Policies

After years of condemning proposals for dealing with asylum seekers by the nationalist *One Nation* party as being "racist and fascist", the government has made a complete about-turn and implemented the proposals as an integral part of Australia's new asylum legislation.

The new laws will bar refugees from claiming asylum if they have passed through a country where they could have sought sanctuary. Genuine refugees will only be issued with temporary visas and their asylum status will be reviewed every three years. If during the review period circumstances change in the refugees native country then the visa will be revoked and deportation will follow.

Applicants who are rejected will have no right of appeal, thus depriving them of the much-abused system where appeals are dragged out for many years.

United States

The Spotlight Ceases Production

The Spotlight, one of the US's longest running nationalist publications, has ceased production. At the same time its publishers and owners, *Liberty Lobby*, has closed down.

Veteran nationalist Willis Carto who owned the *Liberty Lobby* has blamed the closures on a court case brought by the Institute for Historical Review.

More information is available from www.spotlight.org.

New York


Two New York based rabbis have been jailed for stealing hundreds of thousands of dollars from a fund established to help Holocaust survivors.

Rabbi Jacob Bronner, who for 12 years served as an advisor for former Mayor, Ed Koch, and Rabbi Efraim Stein, controlled *Project Social Care*, a charity established to provide counselling for elderly Holocaust survivors.

A \$2.5 million grant awarded by the New York authorities was shared out by PSC between several organisations including a synagogue. Each of these beneficiaries were then expected to "kick-back" money to the two corrupt rabbis.

As New York struggles to recover from the attack on the World Trade Centre news emerges that just two months ago property magnate, Larry Silverstein, purchased a 99-year lease on the building from the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey. At the time, Silverstein, who is a past president of the United Jewish Appeal committee, felt that he had negotiated "a great

deal" when he paid \$3.2 billion for the lease which he predicted would be worth at least \$8 billion.



World Trade Centre: 99-year Lease For Sale

Austria

The Austrian Football Association has requested their proposed World Cup qualifying match against Israel due to be played in Tel Aviv be switched to another venue over safety concerns. In a strong statement the Austrian FA President Beppo Mauhart said, "We have thought about this issue very seriously and will not risk the health and safety of our players and staff".

The World Cup's ruling body, Fifa, have temporarily postponed the match but are expected to reject Austria's request for fear of being labelled anti-Semitic. Whether this will see the Austrians withdraw from the competition remains to be seen but the Austrian team captain, Andreas Herzog, was most emphatic on not playing in Israel when he stated, "I want to concentrate on sport, and in Israel that's not possible." Eight other members of the squad have refused to play on Israeli soil.

Austria only needs to draw against the Israelis to progress to a play-off match against Turkey.

An attempt to have criminal charges of anti-Semitism brought against Austrian nationalist Jörg Haider failed when the Vienna Public Prosecutor decided there was no evidence to support the accusation.


The accuser, Ariel Muzicant, is the leader of Austria's Jewish Community.

Noam Chomsky's Search For The Truth

Avram Noam Chomsky may appear to be somewhat of an anomaly amongst intellectuals for not only is he a Jew but also an outspoken critic of Israel and a defender of historical revisionism. Attacked by Zionists for being a "neo-nazi", this Jewish academic bravely defended Professor Robert Faurisson, best known for his questioning of the Jewish Holocaust. In a response to a claim that Faurisson is anti-Semitic by dint of his questioning of the Holocaust, Chomsky said, "I see no anti-Semitic implications in denial of the existence of gas chambers or even denial of the Holocaust. Nor would there be anti-Semitic implications, *per se*, in the claim that the Holocaust (whether one believes it took place or not) is being exploited, viciously so, by apologists for Israeli repression and violence. I see no hint of anti-Semitic implications in Faurisson's work".

Noam Chomsky's relentless pursuit of the truth in history and politics began from the standpoint of the Left but that did not deter him from moving closer to **The Journal of Historical Review**, based in California. For those who believe that all Jews work towards common Jewish goals, Chomsky is not alone as a Jew attacking Israel and defending the revisionists' right to free speech.

When **The Journal of Historical Review** was launched in 1980, its first edition featured an article by Dr Howard F. Stein, an associate professor of anthropology in Oklahoma. Needless to say, Dr Stein is also a Jew but this fact adds weight to his views on other Jews, so forthrightly expounded on. Dr Stein believes the Jewish Holocaust is a myth, a lie in fact, and stated that Jews have always fantasised about a holocaust throughout their history. His theory is they have always needed to feel persecuted. In another article he wrote of the Jews' "Samson complex", a condition that bound them for eventual destruction, destroying the world with them. Events today, in the Middle East, seem to give some credence to this idea.



Jews and Arabs in Durban unite against Israel

There are those of a libertarian persuasion who will give support both moral and financial to any persecuted group on the basis of Voltaire's dictum.

In 1986, Chomsky, following in the footsteps of Dr Stein, had an article published in **The Journal of Historical Review** entitled, "*All Denials of Free Speech Undercut a Democratic Society*".

Chomsky does not simply stand on a libertarian platform, however, because his position on the Holocaust conforms to the theories of the historical revisionists to the point whereby Zionists accuse him of "being embroiled in the neo-nazi movement". Whatever the "neo-nazi movement" is or

imagined to be one can only speculate that this is yet another fantasy of the Zionists. If they mean **The Institute of Historical Review**, they should make this clear and Chomsky's role can be properly evaluated.

Free speech, to Chomsky, is something that everyone is entitled to and he does not draw distinctions between Left and Right, such a dichotomy being blurred at the edges depending on the issue of the day.

He reserves his vitriol for the state of Israel and the Zionists squirm helplessly because Chomsky is too respected as an academic, a prolific writer and a Jew.

There are some anti-Zionist Jews who can be dealt with by simply ignoring them ... dismissing them as a sandwich short of a picnic. Zionists are always fond of shrugging off their dissidents or, as the Jewish writer Werner Cohn rebuffs, "Surely Jews like everyone else are entitled to a quota of maniacs".

One such is Israel Shahak, a retired chemist, who spends all his spare time travelling around the world condemning the Talmud. Although Shahak lives in Israel, he is not above accusing the Jews of being evil and that the Talmud teaches this malevolence. Shahak lets the cat out of the bag by revealing that Jewish children are actually taught to utter a ritual curse when passing a non-Jewish cemetery (*Jewish History, Jewish Religion, 1994*). Strangely enough, this book has a foreword by the American writer, Gore Vidal ... and, without any reservation, an endorsement by Chomsky. In it he writes, "Shahak is an outstanding scholar, with remarkable insight and depth of knowledge. His work is informed and penetrating, a contribution of great value".

But Chomsky's opposition to organised Jewry, particularly the Zionist variety, is on a more erudite level. He can balance Left and Right and appeal to both in his condemnation of both Israel and America and his belief that the Jewish religion is anti-social.

His is a rejection of dogma and a moral courage that secures he is taken seriously by everyone. Whatever side the Zionists claim he is on, his humanistic approach to the question of free speech is clear. And he actually means it.

His famous defence of Professor Faurisson may have given ammunition to those who act as apologists for Israel but, in Chomsky's case, the bullets would be blanks.

He is an honoured patron of the revisionist movement on both sides of the Atlantic. His academic work is admired and respected by scholars around the world.

But most of all truth for Chomsky is the highest goal to aim for ... and the freedom to express it.

The Meaning of Anti-Semitism

A well-intentioned wit once defined an anti-Semite as someone who hates Jews more than is absolutely necessary. Some Jewish writers have even suggested that anti-Semitism is symptomatic of an incurable neurological disease endemic in gentile European culture ... an alibi for political Zionism and the rejection of assimilation. The term, however, is a misnomer ("Semitic" refers to a family of languages without any racial connotations) and is now used today to demonise those who refer to the Jewish people other than in the most favourable terms.

In the propaganda war of peoples and cultures, the recurring theme is one of national liberation based on ethnic, religious and cultural identity. This theme applies to all except those who advocate the destruction of separate cultural identities and preach internationalism as the only legitimate view of the world, denying all other existing peoples the right to their own unique cultural development.

They will claim that such a doctrine is in the interest of "world peace" and helps to break down prejudice and ignorance, the consequences of ethnic and cultural exclusiveness. Such has been the seed sown by Marxism and Judaeo-Christianity, sown for the purposes of denying all the peoples of the world the inner strength and vitality essential to communities that value true freedom with the security of belonging to an unbroken cultural tradition.

The Jewish people pride themselves on their cosmopolitanism, a worldliness based on a centuries-old wandering after the Diaspora. In this sense, they are unique amongst the rest of the peoples of the world, identified by a living tradition they claim for themselves. Any similar collective consciousness for other cultural groups is looked upon with suspicion and, in some cases, downright horror and open hostility.

Such observations are self-evident and do not require further analysis or explanation.

Their cosmopolitanism is part of their characteristic nature ... but the other side of the coin, their almost aggressive defensiveness, takes on a narrow chauvinism often expressed in the most self-righteous terms. It centres on their dealings with non-Jewish people.

To write an article on anti-Semitism dispassionately and without rancour is a matter for delicate deliberation. The risks of opening a hornets' nest are palpably obvious given the emotive nature of the subject. Out people have been conditioned to think the subject of the Jews is, in a certain sense, a subject that dare not speak its name ... like walking on eggshells and inviting the odium of powerful, mysterious forces.

We shall not concern ourselves with the more prosaic aspects of the Talmud, well known to the connoisseur, for they are excused as belonging to antiquity, even though given a daily new lease of life by Talmudic scholars. Tales of human blood sacrifice are not a peculiarly Jewish phenomenon. The Aztecs did worse.

We concern ourselves with an aspect far less contentious than any myths from the Middle Ages.

The accusation of "anti-Semitism" is one that terrifies the faint-hearted, the socially aspirant and the politically ambitious. This is the key to the problem of *Der Judenfrage* today. It is such a powerful incantation that it can cast its spell far and wide. That notorious exponent of black racism, Louis Farrakhan, addressed an open letter of apology to Jewry for some of his more strident utterances on the Jews ... letting all know that **The Nation of**

Islam, when push comes to shove, is not in the least Islamic ... but compromises its principles. Besides, Islam is not fundamentally racist and Farrakhan has only made a mockery of it. Such is the potency of the "anti-Semitic" curse on those who defied the rules on the subject of Jewish immunity to criticism. And it has proven to work extremely effectively.

There are those among us who can only be described as obsessive concerning the Jews. To hate something or someone beyond reasonable cause displays a lack of not only sound moral judgement but also political astuteness.

Certain Jewish groups attack us simply because we advocate a social system liberated from internationalist institutions. As a people with an age-old right to a land, we demand that we should have sole right to govern it according to our own traditions and not the traditions of others. Cosmopolitanism has no role to play in this. If this is deemed to be "anti-Semitic" then it is not we who have the problem but, as the evil Slobadon remarked defiantly in The Hague, **"That is your problem"**.

The Jewish community in Britain has never been threatened by the host community on any scale comparable to the Eastern pogroms at the turn of the twentieth century. Mosley's Blackshirts were more sinned against in the 1930s, as any resonance of anti-Semitism was the direct result of Jewish collaboration with the Communist Party, seeking out Blackshirt meetings for attack. They wanted everyone to believe that the uniformed British patriot had some affinity with the Black Hundreds or sabre-wielding Cossack.

The threat to Jewry posed by Islamic anti-Zionism has long replaced the European fascist bogeymen as a serious "anti-Semitic" challenge to Jewry's moral posturing. Indeed, it is the Arab objection to Zionist imperialism in the homelands of Islam that translates as an awareness of a global threat, with America and Israel viewed as partners in crime.

The Palestinian problem (The Great Calamity) inverts the role of the Jews as victims with the euphoria of world Jewry as a delivered people now muted in the face of daily evidence of the Israelis' lack of restraint in dealing with the *intifada* of the indigenous and displaced Palestinians.

The accusation of anti-Semitism is now misplaced as, like it or not, the Jewish Zionists are caught out with their hands soaked in blood and the Arab world will never give them the opportunity to wipe it off and play the scapegoat again.

The old incantation of "anti-Semitism" will lose its affect simply because Jewish Zionism never had the moral high ground.

Its actions in the Middle East against many defenceless civilian populations, involving women and children, cry out for Sharon to be standing next to Milosevic for his arrogance and crimes.

It is unlikely that President Bush will view these matters in such a way, being so closely associated with the state of Israel.

Asylum Seekers and the Terrorist Connection

With our new Home Secretary, David Blunkett, seemingly determined to make Britain an even more tempting target for illegal immigration and bogus asylum claims, disturbing allegations have emerged that terrorists are already living in Britain posing as asylum seekers.

Blunkett's plan to issue each year up to 40,000 work permits to selected asylum seekers and illegal immigrants comes as the latest temptation to the hundreds of thousands of economic migrants who want to come to Britain, if any further encouragement was needed. Home Office figures for January show that of 100,000 asylum applications dealt with last year, almost a quarter, 21,800, were given permission to stay. This is of course in addition to the hundreds of thousands that entered Britain illegally and have not yet applied for asylum. The Immigration Service admits that this figure could be in excess of 400,000 for last year alone. They are simply referred to in Home Office jargon as "the disappeared".

This year, in July, of the five thousand asylum seekers who arrived in Britain 800 claimed to be Afghans and 600 from Iraq.

At the beginning of September intelligence received from France suggested that a further 1000 plus Afghans had arrived in France and were hoping to join those already waiting in the Red Cross centre in Sangatte, determined to enter Britain illegally via the channel tunnel. These numbers will no doubt increase dramatically as the situation in Afghanistan further deteriorates. Amongst these will almost certainly be terrorist activists or supporters!

Information received by British Intelligence, MI5, from their US counterparts suggests that some 1,200 "associates" of suspected terrorist, Osama Bin Laden, are already living in Britain.

The information was supplied by a defector from the Al-Qaeda terrorist network who told the FBI that many of those he met at training camps in Afghanistan were planning to enter Britain. The defector, a Pakistani codenamed "Max", told FBI agents in Miami, Florida, that terrorist "sleepers" have been established in Britain and that some of these British-based terrorists have actually trained with some of those responsible for the attacks in the United States.

To date, twenty asylum seekers with terrorist connections have already been arrested in Britain by the security services

Another prominent bin Laden ally is 42-year old, Omar Abu Omar. Omar lives with his family in West London. He has already been granted asylum in Britain and lives on £200 a week state benefits. The turbaned and bearded cleric is wanted in Jordan for terrorist activities and has been sentenced in his absence to fifteen years imprisonment but to date the British authorities have refused to extradite him.

But Omar is only the public face of the terrorists; the real threat is posed by terrorists who dress and act like westerners. Just like those responsible for the US plane hijacks.

Until this government takes the threat posed by illegal immigrants and bogus asylum seekers seriously, the British people will be at risk.

An unnamed intelligence source told a national newspaper recently, "There has been an increase in the number of terrorists recruited in the UK and many more have arrived here illegally. Al Qaeda makes use of Britain's liberal attitude towards immigrants and immigration, its belief in free speech and the easy availability of asylum".

A warning for us all.



Afghan Asylum Seekers Wait in France For Their Chance To Smuggle Themselves Into Britain

THE TRUTH WILL OUT WITH

HONEST JOHN

TONY AGAIN!

Below is a picture of BNP deputy furher Tony Lecomber in his youth. Most of you will have difficulty recognising Tony with a full head of hair, but I assure you that it is him. Many put Tony's baldness down to the stress of being a leading light in the BNP, Tony himself brags that is the result of his over active testicles pumping far too much testosterone into his blood.

Being the de-bunker of myths that I am I will now reveal the truth. During his hairy youth Tony idolised John Tyndal. He worshipped him so much that he wanted more than anything to look like the great tinpot. So an impressionable Tony began plucking the hairs from the top of his head to perfect the stylish Tyndal 2ft comb over.

Now that Tony has found a new mentor he has attempted to grow back his locks but to no avail. So now he is stuck with the timeless "egg in the nest" hair style.

On the subject of Tony's appearance, Gerry Gable mentioned recently that Tony had began wearing glasses in an attempt to look respectable, as usual Gerry is a mile wide of the mark. The truth is that Tony's wife has insisted that he wear the spectacles to prevent him trying to emulate his current mentor,



For once British nationalists are putting their money where their mouths are and subsidising British Farmers. Well only one to the tune of £15,000+ a year. Guess who????

Nick & Alfie

It has been brought to my attention that he BNP have adopted the symbol of Alfred the Great and used it at the AGM. Many of you will have thought that it was the U-turn king spinning on his axis again, having denounced English Nationalism as a Zionist scheme to weaken British nationalism. Griffin's main objection to English nationalism is that he would look even more ridiculous than he does already leading an English nationalist party whilst living in Wales. Another reason is the wealthy Scottish branches within the BNP who always generously contribute to party coffers. But as usual I can reveal the truth! It has been pointed out to me that the DSS has started using Alfred the Great on it's Giro Cheques, and Griffin's



affinity with the first ruler of all England is purely financial! He wants all the unemployed members of the BNP to associate their Giro with the BNP and give generously to Nick's next house extension. Unlike the Albion Fyrd who fund raise for specific items with a specific target, so if you donate you know exactly what your money is being spent on, the BNP throw all the money into one big pile for nick to squander as he sees fit.

One more thing, what is more ridiculous than a English Nationalist Party with a leader who lives in Wales?

A nationalist (and I use the term in it's loosest possible sense) party with a Welshman living in Scotland and a half Irishman living in France trying to tell us English how to be "English"! Ask the ITP.

Fat Acki

It seems that infamous grass, Mark Atkinson has plucked up the courage to be seen in public once more. After loosing quite a few pounds during his steroid free prison spell, it seems that Acki has been piling on the pounds, not muscle though. Looking at his double chin in the picture right Mark needs to spend a lot more time in the gym and less time making crank phone calls to women and children threatening all kinds of kinky violence!



REPATRIATION : Is "IF" and not "WI"

The preservation of the white race has for a long time been pinned on the repatriation of all non whites from our land. It is a corner stone of post war British nationalism. No one has ever dared to question it's feasibility, and when Michael Newlands spoke on Talk radio's James Whale show, of this repatriation being voluntary there was an uproar from the BNP's rivals, (and many from within the BNP itself) saying that the BNP had sold out and gone soft. The fact that in true Griffin style the "leadership" had decided upon a change of policy and made public pronouncements of it before the rank and file membership knew anything about it should surprise none. The fact that the compulsory repatriation of every non white is seen as a "cure all remedy" to all our woes is symptomatic of the blame culture that has got us nowhere for the last 40 years. We need to differentiate between "means and end". There is only one principle that is "written in stone" that we can never change, and that is the preservation of the white race, everything else , and I mean EVERYTHING else is secondary and geared around to the survival of the white race. What does it

matter is the world is a toxic dump, if there are no white people living in it, what does it matter how bad the economy is if there are no white people left to be affected by it. The same goes for repatriation, it is merely one of many tools to preserve the white race, it is not "the be all and end all" if we don't achieve it, I'd love Albion to be a land where you never saw any non whites but the white race will not die out simply because there are non whites living in our land, it will only die out if we let it. Nobody can stop us loving our race and continuing it by having lots of white babies, nobody can stop us sticking to our own and preserving our heritage, the only people who can kill the white race is US, by letting it die out.

Looking at repatriation , the consensus has been that if we repatriate all those who have come to our land since 1945 and their descendants we will be left with a 99.99% land, but we should take a realistic look at whether or not it is practicable. We have always had the simplistic view that it is just a matter of putting them on to boats or planes and sending them back, but is it that easy ?

Let us set aside the problems of becoming the government, and implementing such a controversial policy, and look at the feasibility of a voluntary scheme of repatriation.

The idea that, with cash incentives large numbers of the ethnic population will be eager to return to the lands of their ethnic origin is nonsense, small scale schemes have been promoted by

the likes of Bernie Grant in the 1980's and there is currently one such scheme operating in the Netherlands at the moment. Under the current Dutch plan immigrants are given a free plane ticket and have their housing costs met for the first 6 months. They also have the right to return to the Netherlands within the first year if they don't like it "back home", which means that the scheme amounts to little more than a state sponsored holiday to visit family back in the old country. The Dutch left wing Government which is running the scheme refuses to publish figures relating to the scheme, so the only conclusion I can draw is that the scheme has had the poor take up rate that similar schemes have had here.

Many of you will have seen many surveys saying that a large proportion of the black community are keen to return home, but how serious are they? There are many black nationalist groups promoting a return to the Caribbean and

National Front News

10p

ISSUE No. 45

REPATRIATION: IT CAN BE DONE!

**NIGERIA DID IT
IN TWO WEEKS-
WE COULD DO IT
HUMANELY
IN TEN YEARS**



is it a case of HEN" ?

Africa but I have never seen any of them boast about their success in returning anyone back home, so the only conclusion I can come to is that the number of those who these groups have helped is very low if not non-existent. Compare these people, who we think are eager to leave our shores with all the asylum seekers arriving in Britain. The asylum seekers have risked life, limb and life saving to get here on the chance that they might be able to stay here. They have travelled in some appalling conditions the length of Europe and even as far as China, entrusting themselves to gangsters. All the blacks sick of living in *Babylon* have to do is save up enough money to get them a plane ticket and set themselves up! No matter how bad any job discrimination really is, they could get two or three lowly paid jobs and save the necessary money, if they were as desperate to get back to Jamaica as the asylum seekers are to get here.

There also seems to be the bizarre notion that the attitudes of the whole country will change dramatically once a nationalist government is elected. Griffin claims that once the BNP are in government most of the ethnic community will feel so unwanted that they won't be able to leave quick enough, and the promoters of the multi-racial nightmare will see the error of their ways or high tail it off to another more "tolerant" country. Most of the ethnic communities do not feel welcome now, most view themselves as outside of white society, second class citizens now. Those who revel in the melting pot will fight tooth and nail to topple any nationalist government, not run off like scared animals.

Even if the Black community are as keen to leave as we are sometimes lead to believe there are other problems. The government statistics put our ethnic population at 3.8 million, many of you cynics out there will say it is higher especially when another survey said that whites will become a minority in London (a city of 6 million) by 2010. Of the 3.8 million just over half a million are said to be of black Caribbean decent. It doesn't sound many when you think in terms of 350 a time on a jumbo jet over ten years, that's about 3 flights a week, nothing that Heathrow couldn't handle. But when you consider that the islands which these people would call home have a population of less than 4 million it is a different kettle of fish. This in real terms means that they would increase their population by around 13% in ten years if we had our way. Our own population has been increased by 6% over the last 45 years, with the majority of these people being born here, and look at the trouble it has caused.

Back in Jamaica there is no political correctness to silence the critics of this plan, any hostility will be voiced quite openly. If you think that the native Jamaicans will welcome the influx from Britain with open arms, think again. Just because they are the same colour and share the same dependency don't think that they have the same culture, or expectations. Most of those "going

home" will have never been there before and will have lived a totally

different lifestyle to the Jamaicans.

Put yourself in the place of the average Jamaican, your land is being invaded by people who claim to belong here, they are better educated, better trained, wealthier (courtesy of the generous cash hand outs of the British government). They take the best jobs in the only two industries in the Caribbean (banana growing and tourism), set up their own businesses, employ their own, take the best homes and cause rapid inflation with the sudden influx of money into the economy. You find it harder to get a job, you can't afford a house because of the spiralling prices. After only a couple of years of this mass immigration you will want to put a stop to it. And who would blame them, if America started giving £50,000 to any whites who wanted to return to their motherland, and shipped them back here by the tens of thousands, white unity would go out the window as we clamoured to put a stop to it. It was seen in the 1980's in Switzerland when it was flooded by Portuguese migrants. Nationalist groups in both countries tried to put a stop to it. Apart from the inevitable resistance from the natives of the Caribbean there would also be the pressure put on these countries from the international community and especially their biggest trading partner the USA. Any country who participated in any such "Nazi" policies would find themselves with very few friends in the world. Look at Austria when it democratically elected Jorg Haider.

Not all countries will have the same problems with numbers that the Caribbean countries would have, look at the migrants from the Indian sub continent. They have populations at least twice (Bangladesh) and up 15 times our own (India), so the estimated 2.1 million Asians could quite easily be swallowed up in their homelands. The problem is that they are even more reluctant to return "home" than the blacks. A large proportion of the Asian community are 2nd or 3rd generation immigrants who were born



**National
Front
News** 35p

PHONE
01 373 3432
THE MOVEMENT OF
THE FUTURE!

A Third Way Beyond Capitalism and Communism
LP BUILD THE PAPER - PAY THE 50p SOLIDARITY PRICE NFN 123

BLACKS BACK REPATRIATION!

OVER TWO THIRDS SAY YES!

MORE THAN TWO OUT OF THREE PRO-CARIBBEANS LIVING IN BRITAIN WANT TO SEE A FINANCIALLY-ASSISTED PROGRAMME OF REPATRIATION.

And the figure among Black women is even higher, with 3% - virtually three quarters - wanting the chance for their families to leave Britain's failed multi-racial experiment. Asians too are disaffected with living in a country with values and traditions which so often threaten their own culture. Well over half of them - 54% - want the opportunity to go home. And nearly two thirds of White people would be happy to see them given tax-payers' money to encourage them to go.

Just as significant is the extremely low number of people of all races who are opposed to the idea of repatriation. Only 1% of Blacks, 19% of Asians and 22% of Whites believe that immigrants should stay here. And it is likely that some of the Whites are in fact merely opposed to giving financial assistance, rather than repatriation.

This heartening evidence of strong cross-community support for racial repatriation through repatriation came in a poll of 1,534 people by ICM Research. 500 were White, 455 Black and 579 Asian.





hear and do not want to return to the homeland, their parents escaped from India, Pakistan and Bangladesh for a better life and have decided to colonise Britain and make it home. Asians can easily be split up into two groups those who already have the financial means to return home, and frequently do so to visit their relatives, and those who are poor by comparison. We need only see the like of the Hinduja brothers, who are among the most wealthy in India, to see that many value a British passport and the benefits it brings. The latter group have no interest in returning to a country that sees them as the lower casts and limits their opportunities from birth regardless of their ability. For all their moaning about discrimination and institutional racism, their opportunities are far greater here than back on the Indian subcontinent. Both their religions are missionary religions like Christianity and these people view the world as one big happy family, and their job is to convert as many people to their faith, regardless of race.

Looking at the recent trouble in Oldham, we can see how territorial the Asians have already become over the areas that they live in. Asking for volunteers for repatriation even with a cash incentive will receive few takers.

We are then left to ponder compulsory repatriation. The first and most overriding factor with this is time. With every year not only do the number of those who we would wish to repatriate grow every larger and more settled and established, but the number of whites in the country falls, so making forced repatriation harder. Parliamentary democracy in its present form is not capable of implementing such a policy. With one eye firmly fixed on re-election after only 4 years such a policy would be too controversial. The scenes of violence should we try any forced expulsions would endanger the stability of any government that would be nearing an election.

We have also seen repatriation practised in other countries such as Nigeria in 1983, when it repatriated 3 million back to Ghana, but those who wrote it then will now agree this was merely wishful thinking to compare a British government expelling "political asylum seekers" and former colonial subjects who have either lived here for 30 years or have been born here to a lands thousands of miles away, with an African government sending back economic migrants to a neighbouring country, who had been in the country less than 10 years

What sounds easy when one is in the pub being as hard line as possible in the fantasy world of "when we take power" politics is a totally different matter in reality. If you have ever wondered why some people don't take us seriously then you need only look at how seriously we actually take ourselves.

Unless we were one of many nationalist governments, and I mean Nationalist not right wing conservative, we would become isolated. Many may think "so what!" but the economic realities are that, we as a nation with very few natural resources, who are

not self sufficient in almost all areas, and who's only major industry is the service industry, we would be plunged into an economic slump. We would find it hard to feed our own people, let alone give cash handouts to the ethnic minorities to return home.

So if repatriation is such a far off impossible dream what should we do? We need to look at what we can do to preserve the white race. I'll use a football analogy:

Ruston & Diamonds have just won promotion into the football league. The chairman of the club no doubt has dreams that one day R&D will play in the Premiership and win it, compete along side the Liverpool's off this world as equals. As far fetched as it may seem he would be wrong not to dream of taking the club to the top of their profession. But what should he do now? Should he say we are going to win the Premiership, build a 50,000 seater stadium to accommodate Premiership crowds, sign Premiership players on big wages, and organise the club on a Premiership scale? Or should he do nothing waiting for the conditions to be right for R&D to be catapulted into the football big time?

Quite obviously none of the above, the first option would bankrupt the club in a few weeks and the latter would get them relegated back to the Vauxhall Conference. What he should do is *cut his coat according to his cloth*, keep the club on a sound financial basis and build a team that will keep itself in the 3rd division and try to win it. If and when they get to the 2nd division, they would then change their playing style and some of their players to suit the 2nd, and so on, never giving up on their dream but setting realistic targets that build towards their dream whether they achieve it or not. It is better to play in the 3rd division than stay in the V. Conference because you can't play in the Premiership.

Like wise we can't sit around waiting for the circumstances to be right for repatriation whilst our race dies out. Is it not better that we survive in a part of this land that die out completely. We need to look at what is achievable, and work towards it. Repatriation is not possible in the current circumstances, and there would need to be an enormous change in the whole world for it to become possible. We need to build our own homelands and communities now. They cannot be done over night will take years to do but we need to start somewhere. We need to learn from what the ethnic minorities have done.



League Classified

Advertisements are published as a service to our readers. We do not necessarily agree with their contents, or always have knowledge of the product, service or organisation advertised.


For Sale

"Broadsword" The official quarterly magazine of the **BRITISH MOVEMENT**. For a sample copy and a free BM information pack including our NS books and regalia catalogue please send a donation to: **PO Box 6, Heckmondwike, West Yorkshire. WF16 OXF.**

"The Flame" is the official newspaper of the **National Front**. For a sample copy please send a donation and a large SAE to: **The National Front. PO Box 279, Beckenham. Kent. BR3 4ZT**


The New Dawn

The New Dawn is publication of the *National Women's Front*. Impressively readable and full of articles of interest to the female nationalist. One year's subscription is just £12 or try a sample copy for £1. Make your cheques or postal orders payable to the **"National Women's Front"** and post to ... **NWF. PO Box 23530, London. E13 OUX.**



NST Is a new exciting magazine dedicated to promoting National Socialism as a living, breathing worldview. In full colour, **NST** contains twenty-eight pages of articles and items of news and interest to National Socialists everywhere.

Sample copy: £2.50 (UK). Subscription: £10 for four issues. Europe £12. USA £15.
From: NST. BM Box 5608, London. WC1N 3XX.



Blood & Honour. "We Exist to Resist"

UK subscription for four issues just £10. Europe £12. The rest of the World £14.

From: B&H BM Box 6826, London. WC1N 3XX.

Listen to National Socialist Radio! "American Dissident Voices" is the radio programme produced by the *National Alliance*. On worldwide short-wave radio and now via the internet on www.natvan.com. Send 2x1st class stamps for details to ... **Life Rune Books. BCM 6089, London. WC1N 3XX.**



STEVEN BOOKS

"SIMPLY THE BEST"

The BEST for BOOKS! The BEST for AUDIO TAPES and much much more! Steven Books have simply the best selection of European Nationalist books and tapes. Books on Mosley, Books on Hitler. Books on history. For full details please send a large SAE to

STEVEN BOOKS PO Box 716 Canterbury CT1 2GG

NEW FROM STEVEN BOOKS

"HIGH TREASON"

by Captain K.R. McKilliam and Wing Commander L. Young

HIGH TREASON


**A comprehensive examination of the
gradual erosion of British freedoms.**

**Chapters I, II, and III
by Captain K.R. McKilliam.
Chapter IV
by Wing Commander L. Young.**

This book, first released in 1990 conducts an examination of the gradual erosion of British freedoms. The book contains work from two authors, Captain Ken McKilliam and Wing Commander Leonard Young. Ken McKilliam served in the Commandos during the war seeing active service in places as far apart as Africa and Norway. After the war he worked in the Colonial Service for 25 years. In the late 1960's Ken McKilliam joined the National Front thus continuing his service to Britain and the British people. He died in 1992. Wing Commander Young joined the Royal Air Force in 1922 and served until his retirement in 1946. He served in both 803 Fleet Fighter Squadron and 50 Bomber Squadron.

Available NOW for just ... £4.50 ... inc p&p

**From: STEVEN BOOKS PO BOX 716
CANTERBURY CT1 2GG**



In Memoriam

Rudolf Hess

20.4.1894 – 17.8.1987



Imprisoned for 40 years, his only crime was to attempt to bring peace between European brothers.

In the afternoon of the 17th of August 1987, Rudolf Hess was murdered by persons unknown.

The following statement was made by Hess at his “trial” in Nuremberg in 1947.

“I was privileged for many years of my life to serve under the greatest son whom my people has ever produced in it’s thousand years of history. Even if I could, I would not erase this time from my existence. I am happy to know that I have done my duty toward my people, my duty as a German, as a National Socialist, as a loyal follower of the Fuhrer. I REGRET NOTHING.

If I were to begin all over again, I would act as I did, even if I knew that a funeral pyre was waiting at the end for my immolation. Regardless of what people do, I will one day stand before the judgement seat of the Eternal, I will justify myself to Him AND I KNOW HE WILL EXONERATE ME”.

Rudolf Hess



